

Questions Received (by DDA) on FishPass

1	Who made the first decision that TC needed a fish Pass at Union St?
2	Why is it planned to be located at Union Street dam?
3	When do City residents get to vote on Fish Pass?
4	Who is going to oversee the management of FishPass? Having the DNR is sole charge is the veritable fox guarding the chickens as they are unwavering in their plans to introduce steelhead to bolster their revenues.
5	What is the exact date or month the project will start?
6	Can we still paddle the river during construction?
7	Should the project present an "rebuilding" opportunity, please consider the portage at the Union Dam for Kayakers (estd. @ annual > 10,000?). The current method may be considered as both poor & a very possible liability risk. Due to the slippery 45 degree exit from the river & then the slippery rocks re-entry procedure. Ask any kayaker.
8	On what date did the City Commission approve FishPass?
9	How much will it cost annually to control, manage, and maintain FishPass?
10	Given that mismanagement by the city and DNR has permitted the spillway gate to be breached multiple times by salmon this year, why should the public have confidence in their operation of FishPass once the project is completed?
11	When the decision about whether to pass steelhead or other non-native fish up the river is made, what happens if the DNR and Grand Traverse Band disagree on whether non-native fish such as steelhead should be passed (the Band has passed a resolution opposing the passage of non-native fish)? That is, who is the greater among equals with regard to this decision?
12	Please state the date and author and title of each document that describes the role of City of Traverse City in FishPass. Please state what, if any, agreements Traverse City has with FishPass, Great Lakes Fishery Commission, or any other entity regarding FishPass.
13	When will the citizens get to vote on whether or not they want Fish Pass. Part of the land is Parkland and a vote is required.
14	Will Hannah Park improvement be part of he Lower Boardman project?
15	Most people in government and the various fishing groups act as if this is a given, a "done deal." Who has the ultimate authority to give this project a green light and bring in the bulldozers and chain saws?
16	Where is funding at? What are the sources of funding?
17	This project involves destroying publicly-owned parkland, including removal of 63 mature trees. Again, who authorizes this? What is the triggering event that will start the actual physical process?
18	Who will operate Fish Pass? We have heard it mentioned that more than likely the city will take ownership of operations. Will the city have to hire specialized staff to do this?
19	Where is everyone using the space and amphitheater, etc. going to park?
20	Isn't this essentially disposing of parkland, which requires a 3/5 vote of the electorate?
21	Why an amphitheater? How well-utilized will it really be (can't be used in winter months) and is it really a necessary component?
22	Will there be restrooms?
23	Has there been an environmental impact study done, including sampling of sediments for heavy metals, solvents, PCBs, PFAs and other hazardous substances? Removal of Union St dam will release sediments and could be construed as a "discharge" within the meaning of the Clean Water Act, or a "release" of pollutants or hazardous/toxic substance within the meaning of CERCLA, Part 201, Mich. NREPA and RCRA.
24	Why can't the Union St dam just be repaired for \$800,000 as originally stated somewhere?

25	What if the experiment fails? Have ALL of the worst case scenarios been played out to everyone's satisfaction and is so, where is all of this documented?
26	What is the cost and who pays?
27	How much more park area will the city gain?
28	How does FishPass deal with the thousands of salmon and steelhead that will be prevented from passing upstream? Will extreme numbers swamp the technology (e.g., channels and holding tanks)? Are these fish to be harvested?
29	Will the river be closed or will people still be able to paddle?
30	How long with this take?
31	On what date will the City assume control, management, and maintenance of FishPass?
32	Tell me the screening method that will be used to "sort" different fish species.
33	What is the projected total cost of the FishPass project and how much has been spent so far?
34	Once the FishPass project is completed, who will be in charge of it?
35	All alcohol and smoking and motorized water vessel should be eliminated from the Boardman. No more "Paddle for Pints.
36	Who will be responsible for the cost of the continued operation?
37	Is this the best thing we can do for the river?
38	What is the timeline for this project?
39	What is the starting date?
40	Will steelhead be passed upriver by FishPass, past the Union St. Dam?
41	What remedy is planned if lampreys get past Fish Pass?
42	What are the funding sources for the FishPass project -- both the amounts and percentages of the total cost?
43	The trees on the north side of the Boardman are a sound and visual screen from the city as well as being valuable in their size and CO2 cleansing. Will they be kept in place?
44	Will Hannah Park improvement be part of the Lower Boardman project?
45	What is the estimated cost to city to replace/repair dam without FishPass? And what tax base do those funds come from?
46	How much will FishPass cost the average city resident in taxes?
47	Are any of the federal dollars available for this project without the fish sorting?
48	If we have an additional rise in the water levels throughout downtown, I assume there will be significant property damage. Do the dam removal and fish ladder project include a contingency fund to cover those expenses? Won't we, the taxpayers in the city, be responsible for those costs? Is a better fishing experience for the minority who pursue that activity more important than ensuring the protection of downtown properties?
49	How will the passage of fish with potentially toxic levels of chemicals affect other critters upstream/downstream? Lake trout in Grand Traverse Bay have a DEQ advisory against eating them when over 25" because of legacy toxins. This project could put those fish up the river (all the way to Kalkaska and Kingsley) with their toxic loads that will affect crayfish, frogs, turtles, benthic macroinvertebrates, water shrews, otters, mink, muskrats and the predators that eat them.
50	References to any environmentally studies all seem to lean toward positive impacts. What about some of the negative impacts, like destruction of bird habitat, etc? What if this giant experiment goes awry? What could happen to wildlife, both in the water and elsewhere? (this includes humans)

51	The ECT Report in 2008/09 said the Union Street Dam was o.k. Has that changed? If not, why not just do nothing - leave the existing dam there?
52	How is \$20mill worth of re-configuration & concrete poured & pushed into a mostly natural setting needing preservation, not a worse outcome than some lamprey invading?
53	Will the future operational maintenance cost/budget require city funds?
54	With the Grand Traverse Band, NMEAC and the Brook Trout Alliance all on record against steelhead passage, why are steelhead still being considered for passage?
55	Does FishPass have money allocated to temporary exit points for kayakers on the Boardman River next to the Union Street Dam?
56	Lamprey can climb barriers and attach fish that are being passed around a barrier. Hence, how will the fishpass experiments determine the effectiveness of methods preventing lamprey passage?
57	Who will be managing and designing research activities at the fishpass site?
58	Whose personnel will be performing experiments at the fishpass site (federal, state, university)?
59	Will results of experiments be written for publication in peer reviewed outlets?
60	Will raw (unanalyzed) experimental results be made available to the public? Before or after proper analysis? Before a critical evaluation of the experiment and statistical analysis of data could produce confusion and erroneous conclusions that will prove difficult to remediate.
61	Who was the original individual that started the search for a place for an experimental fish passage?
62	How does that individual benefit from this?
63	Will the engineering design be "patented" or copyrighted" and available for purchase?
64	Who benefits financially?
65	Shareholder values were a large consideration, why not city residents and taxpayer values?
66	Who were these shareholders and whom did they represent?
67	Who in the city made the decision to buy into the project and bring it to the city commission?
68	Did the city accept the proposal or buy into the whole project, funding and all?
69	Why was the Fish Passage idea not shared with the public before it went to the City Commission and DDA?
70	Why wasn't the Lower Boardman/Ottoway considered as a whole from Boardman lake to the Bay?
71	Where did the DDA get the funding to purchase the Consumers Building?
72	Did the DDA have permission from anyone?
73	Is any of it parkland?
74	How much is Traverse City contributing to FishPass?
75	Is this what Prop 1 will be used for?
76	Who pays for maintenance, and who manages and oversees it?
77	What about overall assessments of toxic sediments behind the dam and how will that be cleaned up? Who pays for that?
78	If the Fish Passage is supposed to be for research who is pushing to have a playground there?
79	What about control of lighting and noise?
80	After the public forums, will the stakeholders be able to make changes?

81	If the Fish Passage does not protect and deliver as projected, what is the city's recourse?
82	Invasive problems will affect more than TC. It will become a multiple county problem. Hy does the city get to put 287 square miles of watershed at risk?
83	If it fails outright, who pays for cleanup and reconstruction?
84	What about any liability issues?
85	The original concern was presented as the deteriorating condition of the Union Street Dam warranted repairing or replacing the dam to prevent problems in the future. Somehow the proposal for "replacing the dam" has evolved into an expensive dam with an arc-labyrinth-weir, and expanded park, river access, removing 63 established trees, a research building and an experimental and controversial "FishPass". What prevents this project from being downsized to just replacing the dam with a better design and some park improvement, without all the risky experimental additions?
86	Invasive problems such as Lamprey and Asian Carp will affect more than Traverse City - it could become a multiple county problem. Why should a decision of the City be acceptable when it will put 287 square miles of watershed at risk, just for a playground?
87	Is the term "FishPass" a copyrighted name and will design and/or engineering and knowledge gained be proprietary information?
88	Will this be a legal enterprise that stands to profit from this experiment if it succeeds as planned?
89	The August-Sept. 2019 FishPass update indicates that a 90% engineering design has been submitted by AECOM. Does that include an updated cost estimate for construction and evaluation?
90	Have or will cost estimates be developed for the operation and maintenance of the FishPass facility? Wo will be the source of funding for O & M activities?
91	What technologies are currently being considered for selecting fish species to pass or nor pass? Have the technologies mentioned in the GLMIS Report for the Brandon Road Lock and Dam been evaluated?
92	How is the sorting canal supposed to operate? Will operation require staff at least during periods of fish abundance?
93	Will laboratory studies be conducted as part of the evaluation study or will studies be limited to those conducted in the sorting canal?
94	Will a year end report be prepared describing activities and the results or studies conducted this year?
95	Is there a study on the removal of the dam? What additional risk of flooding would the modification of the dam cause?
96	Nothing has been decided. Where did they get the money to get this wonderful picture?
97	The screening method hasn't been decided on. Wil the public be allowed to comment once you disclose the screening method that you will use?
98	Will the public be allowed to comment once the type of fish species that are going to be passed are disclosed?
99	What is the date that the budget that TC will have to commit to to operate and maintain this be disclosed?
100	What date will the fish species be disclosed? What date will the screening be disclosed?
101	What is the basis for the statements that the dam is obsolete and deteriorating?
102	What is the height of the arc labrynth wier and the permanent barrier on the upstream end of the Fish Pass?
103	Have you done an environmental impact statement/assessment or when are you going to do it? Specifically to the Union Street dam removal.
104	What entity will own the structure and where will liability lie for adverse results that might occur?
105	Where are the additional meetings after the 30th? What goes on from there in terms of discussion, debate, and any of those things. From the answers to the questions we receive.
106	Besides the agreement to participate in the design of FishPass, what else has the City agreed to do in terms of construction and what are they required to do or need to do before FishPass is done?

107	What is each participant's funding exact share? (if not dollars, percentage). What are we looking at in terms of funding from Traverse City?
108	If we remove the dam at Union Street, will there be a large collection of sediment downstream and where do they think that might occur? At the mouth of the River? Where it comes out at the Bay?
109	What specific screening method will be used to ensure that attached Lampreys don't get passed?
110	What has been done to get public input into the Union dam removal, Fish Pass design, and amenities proposed for the FishPass park?
111	How is water going to flow through downtown Traverse City, as a result of the dam and FishPass? What does a flow through design mean? What is the cost and risk to the City of Traverse City, if there is heavy rain upstream which will be passed through? Is there a contingency fund to pay for that damage?
112	Can I kayak down the river during the construction of FishPass? If I'm not able to kayak down the river during construction, where can I get out? If I start at Hull Park and come down the river, where can I get out?
113	Where did the "team" get the idea of adding on all these amenities? Including kayak passage, amphitheater, research building. What is the cost of each of those? Where is the money coming from?
114	Will kayaks be able to paddle up into the FishPass channel? To what extent will fish be able to go downstream, either through the weir or FishPass?
115	After FishPass is completed, Will you be looking at the recreational and commercial usage of this segment of the river, particularly fishing, canoeing, and kayaking?
116	If the FishPass collective/team wants to experiment and implement several ideas regarding fish through 2025 at least, why isn't the FishPass design impermanent instead of a 20 million dollar hunks of concrete.
117	Regarding "environmental improvements" proposed, why do they think the trees and everything need to come out?
118	Do the trees need to be removed from the project?
119	What are you going to do to protect the birds? What are you going to replace it with when you take down all the trees?
120	Why is removing the habitat environmentally-friendly or necessary?
121	How can you remove mature trees and replace with new trees that doesn't disrupt the existing habitat?
122	How did the philosophy of the natural rivers shift with this fourth dam removal or result in FishPass?
123	Has there been an analysis of 100 and 200 year floods at the Union Dam? Has it been evaluated and what is the impact if it happens.
124	Given that FishPass is a novel design and given that we know the names of the organizations involved, who are the scientists by name and CV/qualifications who are going to actually develop the screening system?
125	What is the Watershed Center's position on this?
126	Given that we are in a climate crisis situation with unpredictable weather and nature bats last, if the FishPass were to fail, who is responsible for the cleanup and who has the liability for it?
127	What do the experts have to say in all of their studies and how many studies have there been over these last several years regarding: rather than redoing the whole dam, what do they say about fixing it and repairing it and what are the real problems of the dam? Does it really need to be replaced?
128	What is the size of the barrier between FishPass and the main river channel?
129	Is the 30% increase in accessible access based on a specific river level or is it for a range of river levels?
130	What happens to FishPass after the end of the 10 year period? Does it go away? Does it stay in place? Does it become something else? What kind of analysis has been done on that?

131	On which budget will the operation of FishPass fall?
132	How are we going to be assured that the City is going to maintain it after 10 years? Is there a contingency fund or will it be cut from the budget?
133	What are the provisions, rules, or regulations for private sector activities within the vicinity of FishPass? Within the context of public land use around FishPass?
134	Is there a default setting for FishPass? How hard is it to impose the default? What is the default setting if it is abandoned?
135	Is there a caveat for when the Asian carp get here?
136	Is there the possibility of a local oversight committee that would have control of the DNR's actions once FishPass has been constructed?
137	How much room is there to negotiate this humongous project? Is there room anywhere to downscale, step back, take a breath? Is there room to slow it down?
138	Of the many agencies that are supporting FishPass, is one of the options they're considering Don't Build It? Even if it means sending the money back to Lansing and Washington.
139	Will there be an opportunity for the citizens of Traverse City to vote on options? Do we have a vote?
140	How will trash, leaves, and floating debris be managed?
141	Who will be liable to damage to buildings that are on the river during construction?
142	What is the expected life span of the new constructed dam?
143	Why is there no listing of organizations that have expressed concerns? (referencing project overview document)
144	If FishPass is held up through a referendum or lawsuit, how will that affect requirements related to funding sources? Are there conditions?
145	What is the condition of the dam? Why isn't the actual condition of the Union Street dam being brought forward? (related to 200 year flood standard)
146	Why the elaborate design of the surrounding area beyond just the passage of Fish? Was there adequate community input and will there be opportunities for additional community input before executing?
147	In 11 years, why has no attempt been made to reline the 48 inch culverts (Union Street dam)?
148	What prevents this project from being downsized to just replacing the dam with a better design and some park improvements without some risky experimental conditions? Do we have to have a FishPass?
149	What has been historically scheduled for maintenance between the Parks Department for the dam and Light and Power for fish ladder to inspect it to prevent lamprey or fish from getting through?
150	What are the alternatives to getting Lake Sturgeon, species of suckers, species of other native fish, back into the upper river if there is no FishPass?
151	What are lowermost barriers impact on local extinction of fish like Lake Sturgeon? Compared to overfishing, overharvest, what effect did lowermost barriers (i.e.) dams have?
152	Are large number of sediment contamination studies and environmental and other impact studies going to be required prior to the corps of engineers being issued a permit to tear down the dam?
153	Has there been a design that does not include the elaborate FishPass system that includes all the other features including park, dam getting redone, minus FishPass? If so, what is the difference between what needs to be done and FishPass at that 18-22 million dollars? What's the baseline cost if totally redone without FishPass?
154	Have they articulated a sales pitch for FishPass in terms of what the benefit is for species in the upper Boardman and economic benefits of tourism fishing? What's the economic benefit of having a system like FishPass? What's the species benefit?

155	If FishPass wasn't in the picture, who would pick up the tab to rebuild Union Street dam and all the betterments that are proposed?
156	Has the cost of long-term operations and maintenance at the facility been looked at? Who will be the source of funding and for how long?
157	What is the functional projected life span of the FishPass?
158	When the City passes a resolution to authorize the construction of the project, because it is parkland, will that trigger a public vote because of charter section 128? Why or why not? If they choose to partner with GLFC, is that different than disposing than city parkland?
159	Can you elaborate more on how the public will be exposed to the river through the research facility, as opposed to just seeing it from up on one of the bridges or the streets (how it is now)?
160	Is there data to show what these improvements result in economically, related to quality of life, and tourism?
161	Have the riparian rights been secured from the church or rivers edge condos?
162	Will laboratory studies be conducted as part of evaluation study or will studies be limited to those conducted in the sorting canal?
163	Why should a decision of the city be acceptable when we'll put 287 miles of watershed at risk just for a playground?
164	Is the term "FishPass" a copyrighted name and will design and/or engineering and knowledge gained be proprietary information? Will this be a legal enterprise that stands to profit from this experiment if it succeeds as planned?
165	What in detail is being done to contain the stormwater off the additional parking lots?
166	What are the benefits to near shore habitats in the bay as well as farther up in the watershed to restoring connectivity, especially for native fish?
167	Is it not true that the DNR, and only the DNR, will have final say in what fish are passed, regardless of what organizations they may discuss it with, making it susceptible to politics and lobbying efforts?
168	How can we avoid the above question from being the case? Will the DNR share their public input plan before reaching a decision on which fish to pass? Will the DNR define what input, specifically that from the tribe, looks like and how it is actually considered and weighted?
169	Has a formal cost-benefit analysis been done on this project?
170	Is the City going to be designated as the owner of this project, and if that is the case, can they dictate to the DNR parameters within which they can operate?
171	Why wouldn't they locate this next to the sewage treatment plant and avoid the riparian rights issue and parkland issue?
172	What's the net gain in parkland due to the implementation of this project?
173	Is there a net gain in tree canopy and what is that gain?
174	Can we learn from the builder-operators the specific design of studies they intend to do to evaluate the comparative advantages of decisions that might be made regarding fish passage? Is there a plan to do credible third party studies of the economical, ecological, and social impact to pass fish?
175	(Include soul of the river in the above question)
176	How can they justify cutting down 63 mature shade trees in a city park (Union Street Dam) when the fishpass will be constructed in the water and the research station will be on land that is already cleared?
177	Isn't it true that sea lamprey can get into the boardman river watershed from places other than the union street dam and still be found upstream from the union street dam location?
178	What does "in consultation with the public and the tribe" mean with regard to DNR fish passage decision-making?

179	How can the EGLE justify having a meeting which will affect their opinion in permitting the demolishing of the Union Street dam and construction of FishPass when the public had no specific information on which to make a judgement and make comment? Was their comment meeting premature to the available facts?
180	Was there or has there been in the deliberation and design process any formal assessment of whether or not a no-go barrier could be put into this project at the site of the old Sabin dam to ensure that no fish pass through the fish pass could go beyond that?
181	How can the Parks Commisison and Planning Commission justify their decision to approve it with almost no comment?
182	Why is the Great Lakes Fishery Commission not the entity that will decide which fish pass upstream of FishPass?
183	What is the state of the deliberation in the City for taking managerial control of this thing once it's built? Is the current staffing in any of those offices (planning, parks & rec) adequate to the operation and maintenance of this machine once it's built? What is the City's current thinking about the plan to operate and maintain the facility once it's transferred? Who's going to manage it? Using what tools? How? And Where's the funding going to come from?
184	Once the agreement ends, what is the yearly cost to maintain and run the facility?
185	What happens to all fish caught in the pass? How are they killed? Where are they stored? How are the "disposed of"?
186	What happens if government funding is suddenly cut off?
187	When project comes to an end, can the facility be converted to another use?
188	The Boardman River is a designated as a Michigan Natural River. The dam removal that as taken place over the past couple years is helping it return to a more natural state. Its lower end flows through the central area of Traverse City and is a precious resource that serves all the citizen of Grand Traverse County. I have seen a short video that features the plan for the Fish Pass and the planned development for the surrounding area. It looks very industrial, with many less trees than currently line the banks. It is important that trees that beautify our city and keep the river shaded should not be removed. Already many trees were cut to create a boardwalk to nowhere in river and an access ramp for the disabled that does not meet ADA standards. The surrounding trees need to be maintained and native trees should be planted to fill in where trees have been cut. Who will make the critical decisions about the Fish Pass design and landscaping? Shouldn't it be up to the community and our elected officials? D we need a public vote whether to proceed?
189	Why have an experimental Fish Pass at all? Shouldn't we be doing whatever possible to preserve and enhance the natural character of the river? Should environmental protection (especially in this time of climate change) outweigh any commercial interests?
190	I am not a fisherman, but I have been listening to the concerns of the Brook Trout Coalition who have been working to protect the river's brook trout, our state fish. They feel strongly that allowing steelhead and salmon upriver will endanger the survival of the naturally occurring brook trout. Can you assure us that the passage of these more aggressive fish upstream will not be allowed?
191	Possibility of a fire pit - I do not think this is necessary or prudent. Who will monitor, build fire and ensure its extinguished? Im afraid of inappropriate use at night .
192	What is the timeline for construction? Start and expected completion? Will there shutdown of Union Street and shutdown of adjacent parking lot during construction?
193	Once maintenance of FishPass is turned over from DNR/Great Lakes Fisheries, what is the anticipated cost to the city for ongoing maintenance? And any anticipated major overhaul of design based on initial use either before or after this 10-year period?
194	With adjacent residences plus the historic CUMC what is being done during construction to ensure adjacent buildings are not damaged? Pile driving can cause damage and what will be the hours of work - need to follow city rules.

195	The amphitheater is a concern to residents of the area. It could become a gathering place at night for non-fishing activity, barn patrons of from Union Street, the homeless etc. The downtown police officer is a great addition but he does not work nights and cant be everywhere. How will this be
196	I understand the area where kayaks leave and return to the river will be moved east of current spot, directly below residents and Hagerty offices at the River Edge. Why? The numbers and noise from this is a concern and is something the city should be addressing - large numbers of people drinking in boats is not a good idea.
197	I have seen concerns on if the Fish Pass will be effective in stopping invasive species - it is "experimental" How will this be monitored and what will be done if it is not effective.
198	I understand that after 10 years the management of the Fish Pass will be turned over to the city. Is the city knowledgeable enough and have the resources to maintain it?
199	Why are the adjacent parks, Lay, Hanna and VFW not being considered for use as a meeting place vs. another concrete building infrastructure?
200	I had heard that the Fish Pass and all that it includes: grounds, amphitheater, area where the kayaks leave and return to the river, etc. - will initially be managed by the DNR of Great Lakes Fisheries (I'm not exactly certain which organization) - and after, I believe 10 years, they will no longer manage the project. Who will be managing/maintaining the area after the developing organizations are no longer doing so? Will the organization who eventually manages the area also manage the experimental fish pass projects?
201	From what I have read, it has been noted that to protect the waters in the Grand Traverse Area, Traverse City specifically, can help to keep the waters safe having more permeable surfaces. The proposed Fish Pass is constructing a building and an amphitheater. As I understand it - the building will house restrooms and I thought, an information center. The amphitheater, from the drawing I saw, is concrete/block - not a natural material. With the building being an information center, and 3 parks, Lay Park, Hannah Park, VFW Park - being about a 1/2 block to a block of the Fish Pass area - why is an amphitheater being built? It is adding a non-permeable surface right at the rivers edge. Couldn't the new building or the parks be used for groups who are gathered to learn about Fish Pass Project? If not, why not?
202	The amphitheater, specifically, is a concern to residents who live in the area. Its seems that it could become a natural gathering place for non-Fish-Pass related activity. Not a community group gathered to share stores and sign songs, but unfortunate more likely for those leaving bars late at night. The downtown police officer has been a great addition but he does not work evenings/nights. Not is he or other officers able to be everywhere. How will the amphitheater be monitored? If there is a fire pit, which had been discussed, who will monitor who builds a fore and it is property extinguished? What will prevent fires that are not authorized?
203	There are many residences and historic Central Methodist Church in the area where Fish Pass/Damn Walkway is being built. When Hagerty built their most recent building, pile driving was not done to protect the buildings from damage. What precautions are being taken to protect the existing buildings?
204	Is a permit system for watercraft on the lower Boardman under consideration? If so, consider the system in place on the Pine River as an instructive model. Contact Leslie Auriemo with the USFS. If not, how will the number of watercraft be controlled and balanced with other users?
205	What specific limits will be placed on business development on the lower Boardman? How many liveries, restaurants, condos?
206	Anglers pay for licenses each year which support the DNR. What accommodations will there be for anglers (access, courtesy hours without watercraft, etc.)?
207	Who will be responsible for enforcement of river use rules, especially during peak months? Are there plans to hire enforcement personnel?
208	Have all members of the LBLT read Dr. Ray J. White's Comments on the Michigan DNR Fisheries Division's 2014 Draft Boardman River Assessment, particularly as it discusses the passage of steelhead and salmon into the river?

209	Have all members of the LBLT familiarized themselves with the ecological problems and changes that will occur if non-native species of fish, i.e. steelhead and salmon, are allowed to ascend and spawn in the Boardman watershed
210	When will a decision be made regarding the “desirability” of passing any non-native salmonids?
211	Have all members of the LBLT read Dr. Ray J. White’s Comments on the Michigan DNR Fisheries Division’s 2014 Draft Boardman River Assessment, particularly as it discusses the passage of steelhead and salmon into the river?
212	Have all members of the LBLT familiarized themselves with the ecological problems and changes that will occur if non-native species of fish, i.e. steelhead and salmon, are allowed to ascend and spawn in the Boardman watershed